

MOTION NO. 6851

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

A MOTION defining non-financial modifications to the Woodland Park Zoo Project and providing criteria for evaluating all proposed modifications to the Zoo Project.

WHEREAS, Section 7(D) of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement (Ordinance 7616, Exhibit A) directs the Zoo Development Oversight Committee to develop and recommend to the King County council, for its approval, definitions and criteria for evaluating any proposed modification to the Woodland Park Zoo Project (including the Financing and Development Plan) and the Capital Improvement Program Plan, and

WHEREAS, Section 1(K) of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement defines a modification to the Zoo Project to include a substantive increase or decrease, as defined by the Zoo Development Oversight Committee pursuant to Section 7(D) of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement, in a subproject's size, capacity, or key features, and

WHEREAS, the Zoo Development Oversight Committee has developed definitions of non-financial modifications to the Zoo Project and criteria for evaluating all proposed modifications to the Zoo Project, in conjunction with City of Seattle and King County staff, and

WHEREAS, it is understood that Woodland Park Zoo staff will brief the Zoo Development Oversight Committee on the status of the Zoo Master Plan and every active subproject at least once a quarter, including all proposed changes to the subprojects, and

WHEREAS, it is understood further that in the event that the Zoo Development Oversight Committee does not deem a proposed change a modification requiring legislative approval per the Zoo Cooperation Agreement, City of Seattle or King County staff may call for a report to their respective legislative authorities, and

WHEREAS, it is understood that Zoo staff will utilize a consistent format to describe proposed non-financial modifications to the Zoo Development Oversight Committee, and that this format will be determined cooperatively by City of Seattle and King County staff and the Zoo Development Oversight Committee;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

NOW THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

A. The definitions, contained in Exhibit A attached hereto of non-financial Zoo Project modifications requiring county legislative approval, are hereby approved.

B. The criteria, contained in Exhibit B attached hereto to be used by the Zoo Development Oversight Committee in evaluating all proposed modifications to the Zoo Project are hereby approved.

PASSED this 4th day of May, 1987.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Mary Grant
Chair

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Owens
Clerk of the Council

EXHIBIT A

6851

DEFINITIONS OF NON-FINANCIAL MODIFICATIONS
TO WOODLAND PARK ZOO PROJECT

1. Substantive Increase or Decrease

An increase or decrease in the size, capacity or key features of a subproject; or a combination of such increases or decreases, which alters the essential character of the subproject proposed for change or other subprojects as described in the Zoo Commission Report, Attachment "A" to King County Ordinance 7344, or the most recently approved scope of work of the subproject(s).

2. Size

The acreage used for an exhibit or facility, or the size of a facility itself.

3. Capacity

The number or varieties of animals that are housed or exhibited in a facility; or the number of visitors the facility or exhibit can handle.

4. Key Features

Major features of a subproject (e.g., the simulated river in the Taiga Zone); the number, type and location of major buildings to be renovated, constructed or expanded; methods of housing animals; or vegetation essential to the character of the zone.

EXHIBIT B

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS
TO THE WOODLAND PARK ZOO PROJECT
TO BE APPLIED BY THE ZOO DEVELOPMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

1. How the proposed change will affect the public's expectations of the Zoo Project, as defined by Ordinance 7344, Exhibit A, Part 1.
2. How the proposed change will enhance educational or entertainment opportunities for the public.
3. How the proposed change will improve comfort or accessibility for a sub-project or the Zoo Project.
4. How the proposed change will eliminate or prevent unsafe or unhealthy conditions for animals, staff or visitors.
5. How the proposed change will affect operating and maintenance costs for the exhibit or facility; and how the change will improve the ability to maintain the exhibit or facility;
6. Whether the proposed change will cause additional disruption to existing exhibits and animals.
7. How the change is expected to affect the Zoo's revenues.